



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06F 13/00</b>	<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 99/27456</b>
		(43) International Publication Date: 3 June 1999 (03.06.99)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/24362

(22) International Filing Date: 12 November 1998 (12.11.98)

## (30) Priority Data:

60/066,583	26 November 1997 (26.11.97)	US
09/030,411	25 February 1998 (25.02.98)	US

(71) Applicant: ACUTY IMAGING, LLC [US/US]; 9 Townsend West, Nashua, NH 03063 (US).

(72) Inventors: WILT, Michael, J.; 136 Castle Hill Road, Windham, NH 03087 (US). BALLENTYNE, Todd Andrew; 13 North Meadow Road, Amherst, NH 03031 (US).

(74) Agents: BOURQUE, Daniel, J. et al.; Bourque &amp; Associates, P.A., Suite 303, 835 Hanover Street, Manchester, NH 03104 (US).


(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: APPARENT NETWORK INTERFACE FOR AND BETWEEN EMBEDDED AND HOST PROCESSORS

10



## (57) Abstract

An apparent network interface (30) permits an embedded processor (20) to communicate to a host processor (2) using standard network communication mechanisms/protocols such as TCP/IP, NFS, FTP, HTTP, etc. The web server protocol HTTP is particularly useful because it permits the embedded computer to publish a user interface for remote monitoring and remote control using a standard web browser application. The invention provides the host computer (10) with an apparent network interface (30) that appears to be a standard network device, such as an Ethernet interface card. This apparent network interface (30) communicates directly with the embedded processor (20) which appears to be a device on the apparent network. Significant cost savings and performance enhancements are realized by implementing the communication directly over the host computer's peripheral bus (6) rather than using standard network hardware such as Ethernet hardware.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

APPARENT NETWORK INTERFACE FOR AND BETWEEN EMBEDDED AND  
HOST PROCESSORS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to processing systems and particularly, to a system and method for providing an apparent network interface between a host processor and an  
5 embedded processor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Most of the currently available stand alone processing systems, such as stand alone vision systems, communicate with other computers or other types of processing systems  
10 such as factory automation equipment using serial communication (RS-232 or similar), digital I/O, Ethernet or other network protocols. An embedded processor sits inside a host processor and has its own processing capabilities thereby offloading processing tasks from the host processor.  
15 Embedded processors such as embedded machine vision processors typically communicate with a host processor through mechanisms such as peripheral bus mailboxes.

While these mechanisms are all appropriate and useful for communicating with other pieces of equipment in the  
20 immediate vicinity of the machine vision computer, they do little to support remote monitoring and remote control of the processing systems such as a vision computer. Another

limitation of these systems is that the communication mechanism with an embedded vision processor is different from what is used in a stand alone system.

Recent innovations in networking hardware and software  
5 have made networking technology much more useful and popular. In particular, web browsers have become a universally accepted user interface found on virtually all home and office computers.

Accordingly, a need and an opportunity exists for a system  
10 and method to interface an embedded processing system, such as an embedded processor, with another device, such as a host computer, using a network type protocol (network interface) without the need for traditional network type hardware.

15 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention features a network interface that permits one processing system, such as an embedded machine vision computer, to communicate to other devices using standard network mechanisms such as TCP/IP, NFS, FTP, HTTP,  
20 etc. The web server protocol HTTP is particularly useful because it permits the embedded computer to publish a user interface for remote monitoring and remote control using a standard web browser application.

This invention provides the host computer with an  
25 apparent interface that appears to be a standard network

device, such as an Ethernet interface card. This apparent interface communicates directly with the embedded machine vision computer, which appears to be a device on this apparent network. Significant cost savings and performance  
5 benefit are realized by implementing the communication directly over the host computer's peripheral bus rather than using standard network hardware such as Ethernet.

The apparent interface enables communications between a host computer and a processor or CPU embedded within the  
10 host computer. The present invention takes place and is preferably implemented in the lowest transport layer of the network stack in the operating system of both the host and the embedded processor. The embedded processor is connected to the host computer or processor via a host computer  
15 peripheral interface bus.

The present apparent network interface includes device driver software, which runs on the host computer, and which provides the apparent network interface to the embedded computer. The device driver software communicates directly  
20 with the embedded computer. In addition to the device driver software for the host computer, the interface further includes device driver software that runs on the embedded computer and which provides an apparent network interface to the host computer and communicates directly with the host  
25 computer.

### DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the apparent network interface between a host and an embedded processor according to the present invention;

5        Fig. 2 is a schematic diagram showing a typical vision system configuration containing a host computer and an embedded computer which would utilize the apparent network interface of the present invention;

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram showing the communication  
10 mechanism employed to implement the apparent network interface of the present invention;

Fig. 4 is a schematic diagram showing the software architecture of the network interface of the present invention; and

15        Fig. 5 is a schematic diagram which illustrates data communication between two devices using the network interface system and method of the present invention.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

A processing system 10, Fig. 1, incorporating the  
20 apparent network interface 30 of the present invention includes a host processor/processing system 2 and an embedded processor/processing system 20. The host processor 2 communicates with the embedded processor utilizing the host peripheral bus 6 which, in the preferred embodiment, is

a PCI bus, although this is not a limitation of the present invention. The host processor 2 communicates with the apparent network interface 30 utilizing a standard host protocol 14 such as the network software incorporated into  
5 Microsoft Windows 95 or NT operating systems. Similarly, the embedded processor 20 also communicates with the apparent network interface 30 utilizing its own embedded system protocol software 22 such as Microsoft Windows 95 and NT or any other operating systems. The apparent network  
10 interface 30, according to the present inventions, is preferably implemented as software (in the form of the lowest level interface protocol layer of the operating system such as Wind River's VxWorks) and/or a software/hardware combination.

15 As shown in greater detail, a vision system 10, Fig. 2, implementing the present invention includes a host CPU or processor 2, host memory 4 and a host peripheral bus 6 such as a PCI bus. The host peripheral bus 6 communicates with a number of devices that are generally known as peripheral  
20 devices to the host CPU.

Typical peripheral devices connected to a typical peripheral bus 6 include at least one host input/output (I/O) interface 8 which, in the preferred embodiment, is a multi-function I/O controller card which interfaces with  
25 any one of a number of well known I/O devices, such as one or more keyboard, mouse and the like. Another common

peripheral device is a host display controller 11, which is preferably a VGA adapter card, which may include hardware or software enhancements, such as accelerated display drivers.

Host display controller 10 routes a display signal from the host CPU 2 to a display device 13, such as a computer monitor. Additionally, a network interface card 12 is connected to the peripheral bus 6, to interface the host CPU 2 to an external computer network.

Finally, the host computer includes an embedded vision computer 20, which interfaces with the host CPU 2 via peripheral bus 6. The embedded vision system computer 20 itself interfaces with vision system I/O devices, such as cameras and the like.

This invention provides the embedded computer 20 with an apparent network interface to the host processor 2 using the network interface configuration and protocol of the present invention. In the present embodiment, the network interface is described as a software protocol although this is not a limitation of the present invention. To the host computer 2, this network interface appears to be an interface card which provides a connection to a single external computer, such as an embedded vision computer 20. The embedded vision computer 20 has a similar interface which provides its connection to the host computer 2, thus implementing the network interface of the present invention.



Routing software running on the system 10 may be used to permit the embedded computer 20 to communicate with other devices attached to the external network via network adapter card 12.

5       The preferred embodiment of the present invention, without limitation, however, is implemented with a machine vision computer 20 as an embedded processing system which has the following features and attributes:

- 10       • The embedded computer 20 is preferably on a separate circuit board and interfaces to a host computer 2 via the host computer's peripheral interface bus 6.
- The embedded computer 20 is capable of running its own operating system 22, Fig. 3, including network services 24.
- 15       • The embedded computer 20 board contains some memory which is visible to the host CPU 2 via the host CPU's peripheral interface bus 6.
- The embedded computer 20 is capable of interrupting the host computer 2 via the host computer's
- 20       peripheral interface bus 6.
- The host computer 2 is capable of interrupting the embedded computer 20 by writing to a device on the embedded computer board via the host computer peripheral interface bus 6.

25       These attributes of this invention described for exemplary purposes as an embedded vision computer 20

facilitate the implementation of the apparent network interface 30 of the present invention. The first component of the software for one such implementation of the present invention includes an NDIS mini-port driver 32 running under  
5 the host computer operating system 14, such as Windows NT or Windows 95, which simultaneously runs other standard host software, such as network applications and services 16.

The second component of the software for one embodiment of the present invention includes a network device driver 34  
10 running under VxWorks operating system on the embedded vision computer 20. This invention also applies to other combinations of host and embedded processor operating systems.

Both of these network communication/interface drivers,  
15 32 and 34 implement standard interfaces, 42 and 44 with their respective operating systems 14 and 22. Internally, however, these drivers communicate directly with each other via the host computer's peripheral interface bus 6 rather than driving traditional network hardware such as an  
20 Ethernet board, which would be the usual function of these types of drivers.

When the host CPU 2 has a packet of data to be sent out on its network interface 32 to the embedded vision computer 20, it calls the device driver and passes it the data to be  
25 sent. Normally, the device driver for a network interface card would copy the packet data to the network interface

device and instruct the device to send the packet out on the network. However, the drivers used to implement the present invention differ from normal device drivers in that they first copy a packet of data to a shared memory location  
5 visible to the other computer and then interrupt the other computer.

This is shown in schematically Fig. 3 as steps 200, 220, 300 and 320, which represent: the host computer 2 writing a packet of data to memory on or associated with the  
10 embedded vision computer 20; the host computer 2 interrupting the embedded vision computer 20 to advise the embedded vision computer 20 that a packet of data has been delivered; the embedded vision computer 20 writing a packet of data directly to memory associated with the host  
15 processor 2 via the host computer peripheral bus; and the embedded vision computer 20 asserting a bus interrupt to notify the host CPU 2 that a packet of data is available, respectively.

A substantial performance benefit is achieved by  
20 implementing all data transfers using burst-mode write cycles over the peripheral bus 6. Both the host 2 and the embedded computer 20 can transfer data directly to the other computer's memory by performing bus-master write cycles. This way, each computer is able to read packet data  
25 efficiently from its own memory, rather than using less

efficient read cycles over the peripheral bus 6 to read the data from the other computer's memory.

There are two network drivers used to implement the apparent Ethernet-over-PCI capability (network interface) of the present invention. The first driver is to support the  
5 the present invention. The first driver is to support the real-time operating system 22 on the embedded machine vision computer 20, and the second is to support the GUI operating system 14 on the host computer 2. These two drivers are the endpoints for the two-node apparent network 30 over the  
10 bus 6. While the drivers are different due to the operating systems under which they run, the data structures used are necessarily identical to allow the communication to function properly.

There are two network buffers (ring buffers one (56) and two (54) of Fig. 5) used to implement the present  
15 apparent network 30. Each of the two buffers are both transmit and receive buffers. However, the two computers/processors (host 2 and embedded 20) have differing views on which is the transmit and which is the receive  
20 buffer.

Communication is effected according to the following protocol (keeping in mind that computer 1 and 1 can be either the host or embedded processor): Computer 1, 50, writes data to its own transmit buffer 54, which is ring  
25 buffer 2, 54, in the disclosed ring buffer implementation. This same ring buffer 54 is viewed by Computer 2, 52 as its

own receive buffer. Therefore, as soon as Computer 1 is done writing the data in its transmit buffer 54, the data has been received by Computer 2 since the Computer 1 transmit buffer and the Computer 2 receive buffer are  
5 physically the same ring buffer 54.

Likewise, when Computer 2 52 writes data to its transmit buffer, which is ring buffer 1, 56, of Fig. 5, the data has been received by Computer 1, 50, since the Computer 1 receive buffer is physically the same buffer as the  
10 transmit buffer of Computer 2, namely ring buffer 56.

The key to good performance when implementing the present invention over a PCI bus in particular, is to physically place the transmit Buffers on the receiving computer. In this way, the transmit operations will use PCI  
15 writes which are very efficient while the receive operations will use local memory reads which are also very efficient. This avoids using PCI reads which are not efficient. This network "ring buffer" implementation is depicted in Fig. 5. Buffer wrapping should be guaranteed by design and the  
20 following exemplary depiction of a ring buffer is not to be considered a limitation of the present invention.

The ring buffer data structure has four fields. The first is a 32-bit command field which can be used to enhance the interrupt processing by allowing data to be passed  
25 outside of the Ethernet packets. The interrupt handlers can read this field to look for special requests outside of the

usual receive packet indications. Some examples of this are a heartbeat indication in the absence of network traffic, a packet acknowledgment back to the transmitting computer, or control information to indicate a computer or network reset.

5       The next two 32-bit words are the write and read pointers for the ring buffer. The write pointer indicates the next 32-bit location in the ring which can be written. The read pointer indicates the last 32-bit word read.

      The final part of the data structure is the ring  
10   buffer. The ring size is the total number of 32-bit words allocated to the buffer - minus the three words used for the command, read, and write words. As packets are put in the ring they are encapsulated in framing overhead. A packet will always start with the first framing pattern word, a 32-  
15   bit word with the value of 0xAAAAAAAA. The next 32-bit word after the framing pattern will contain the length in bytes of the total frame, (Ethernet packet size + pad bytes + framing overhead) and the length in bytes of the Ethernet packet. The frame length is the upper 16 bits and the  
20   Ethernet packet length is the lower 16 bits. After the length field comes the actual Ethernet packet. The packet is padded if need be so that it completely fills the final 32-bit word. The final word in the frame comes after the Ethernet packet. It is the final framing pattern value of  
25   0x55555555.

      The framing patterns are used to detect ring buffer

errors, and allow error recovery in the event the ring becomes corrupted. An example of a packet entry in a ring buffer is depicted in the Table 1 below.

TABLE 1

0	Command		Write Pointer	Read Pointer	Frame Pattern1
16	Flengt h (36)	Elengt h (17)	Data[0-3]	Data[4-7]	Data[8-11]
32	Data[12-15]		Data[16] Pad[17-19]	Frame Pattern2	Frame Pattern1
48	Flengt h	Elengt h	Data[0-3]	Data[4-7]	...
64	...		...	Frame Pattern2	...
	...		...	...	...
	...		...	...	...
	...		Frame Pattern2	Frame Pattern3	Frame Pattern3
	Frame Pattern3		Frame Pattern3	Frame Pattern3	Frame Pattern3

You will notice references to Frame Pattern 3 in the  
 5 above ring illustration. This pattern has a value of  
 0xA5A5A5A5 and indicates the rest of the ring buffer is  
 empty. A packet is never allowed to wrap from the end of  
 the ring to the beginning. This is a necessary restriction

since the operating systems on both computers expect packets to be in contiguous memory when they are sent to or received from the network interface. This precludes wrapping a packet from the end of the buffer to the beginning. Each  
5 driver checks the length of the packet about to be sent. If it won't completely fit at the end of the ring, the fill pattern is written and the write index set to the beginning of the ring buffer before writing the packet.

The data structures described above are the backbone of  
10 the network driver. However, there are two other important considerations when using data structures in shared memory between two independent computers. The first is the issue of concurrent access to the same memory. It is possible for two independent computers to read the same memory location,  
15 modify the value, and write it back. However, the value written last is the only one retained. Therefore, the first computer to complete the write will have its value replaced by the second computer. The first computer will then have an incorrect understanding of what is in memory.

20 With a bus-level locking mechanism this could be avoided; however, not all PCI devices support locking and if they did it would cause performance problems on the bus so a different mechanism was used. The responsibility for updating the read and write pointers was distributed between  
25 the two computers. A computer can only write its transmit buffer write pointer and its receive buffer read pointer.



In addition, these values can never contain intermediate results. They must be updated to always hold a valid pointer. In this way, the partner computer can always read its receive buffer write pointer and transmit buffer read  
5 pointer and be sure of their validity. This removes the need for a bus level locking mechanism.

The second concurrency problem is with the computers' ability to interrupt each other. The registers which cause and mask interrupts will be shared by both computers at  
10 times. This leads to a second concurrency problem. To solve this problem each interrupt mask and generation location must be independently addressable. This is accomplished by having the hardware "exclusive-or" the new data with the old. This makes every bit independently  
15 addressable and again avoids needing bus-level locking.

Ring buffer management can also include fixed length (e.g. 1536 byte, 2kB) buffers whose ownership is determined by a status bit. The shared status bit can be set and reset according to rules similar to those set forth above. A  
20 transmit buffer may be set up as a receive buffer only by the transmit process which may de-assert the status bit. A receive process may only assert the status bit, which hands ownership back to the transmit process. Both processes need only check this bit to know whether they can write to  
25 (transmit process) or reads from (receive process) any

particular buffer. All buffers are initially owned by the transmit process.

Despite the foregoing preferred embodiment, it is evident that there are other implementations that will achieve the same functionality. Each state machine could own a pointer, similar to the foregoing read/write pointers, that points to the start of a buffer and is incremented as described later. As with the foregoing preferred embodiment, there will be a set of buffers for communication from the embedded CPU to the host PC and another set for communication in the reverse direction. As before, there will be locking issues that have to be resolved.

A simple fixed length buffer is shown in Table 2:

**TABLE 2**

0	Status & Command
4	Length
8	Data [0-3]
12	Data [4-7]
16	Data [8-11]
20	Data [12-15]

The Status and Command word will contain a bit (most likely the most significant bit to make its assertion easily detectable with signed arithmetic) that indicates whether the buffer owner is the transmit process or the receive

process. Arbitrarily assign ownership to the transmit process when the ownership bit is a logic low, or '0', and to the receive process when it is logic high, or '1'.

To ensure correct locking between processes, only the  
5 transmit process is allowed to assert the bit to logic '1' and only the receive process is allowed to de-assert the bit of logic '0'.

All buffers are initially owned by the transmit process. The receive process will check the buffer  
10 ownership bit which it currently points to (i.e. the first buffer initially) either with a timer controlled regularity or when the transmit process asserts an interrupt to the receive process. When the receive process finds a buffer it doesn't own (which may be the first buffer initially), it  
15 will not move to check the following buffers as by definition, they will not be owned by the receive process.

The transmit process will load each packet from the networking stack into a buffer in turn and will assert the ownership bit of the buffer to the receive process after the  
20 load is complete. After one or more buffers are loaded, the transmit process will assert an interrupt to the receive process. The transmit process will stop filling buffers when either it runs out of packets to send, or one of two conditions occur: for fixed length buffers, it finds a next  
25 buffer that is owned by the receive process (status bit is de-asserted). For variable length buffers, it finds that

the difference between the receive and transmit buffer pointers (corrected for wrapping) is smaller than the space needed for the current packet. This last condition is similar to the preferred embodiment detailed previously.

5       The receive process will inspect the status bit of the first buffer and if it owns that buffer, will remove the contents into its network stack. The receive process will then de-assert the ownership bit back to the transmit process and move on to the next buffer. This process will  
10 stop when the next buffer is not owned by the receive process.

      The buffer pointer is moved to the next buffer in the transmit or receive processes in either of two ways; for fixed length buffers, a simple constant increment from the  
15 current head of buffer to the next may be implemented. For variable length buffers, use is made of the length field (which could be made into 2 16 bit fields as described for Table 1), so the buffer length and 8 byte overhead added to the current head of buffer pointer to point to the next  
20 buffer. In this last case, the transmit process is always guaranteed to be ahead of the receive process for writing the status byte of the next buffer by ensuring the status byte of the next buffer is always initialized before turning the buffer ownership of the present buffer to the receive  
25 process.

Buffer wrapping is allowed in the variable length buffer case. In the fixed length buffer case it will not be an issue since there will be an integer number of buffers allowed to fit into the reserved network buffer memory space.

Use of fixed length buffers is seen to offer an inherent advantage over variable length buffers: transmit and receive processes can be de-coupled totally except through the locking mechanism (a signed comparison) which makes their implementation much easier. Furthermore, there is no need for the receive process to know where the transmit process has its pointer (or vice versa) since the status byte for each buffer is always accessible from both pointers. There is an inherent disadvantage in fixed buffers, that being the efficiency of use (average packet length vs. buffer length), but this could be minimized through using an alternative arrangement whereby smaller buffers are used and multiple buffer contain one packet.

Accordingly, the present invention provides a novel apparent network interface for and between embedded and host CPU's.

Modifications and substitutions by one of ordinary skill in the art are considered to be within the scope of the present invention which is not to be limited except by the claims which follow.

What is claimed is:

CLAIMS

1. An apparent interface for enabling communications between a host computer including a host processor and an embedded processor embedded in said host computer, said interface device comprising:

5 a host computer peripheral interface bus, for providing a communication path between said host processor and said embedded processor;

host computer communications means, for providing an apparent network interface to said embedded processor and  
10 for allowing said host computer to communicate directly with said embedded processor; and

embedded processor communications means, for providing an apparent network interface to said host computer and for allowing said embedded processor to communicate directly  
15 with said host computer.

2. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1, wherein said host computer communications means includes computer software comprising an NDIS mini-port driver running under a Windows operating system running on said  
20 host computer.

3. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 2, wherein said host computer operating system comprises Windows NT.

4. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 2, wherein said host computer operating system comprises Windows 95.

5. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1  
5 further comprising Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) server software running on said embedded processor, for publishing a user interface for remote monitoring and control of said embedded processor.

6. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1,  
10 further comprising first and second network buffers, wherein said first network buffer is a transmit buffer for said host processor and a receive buffer for said embedded processor, and wherein said second network buffer is a transmit buffer for said embedded processor and a receive buffer for said  
15 host processor.

7. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 6, wherein said first network buffer is disposed proximate said embedded processor, and wherein said second network buffer is disposed proximate said host processor to take advantage  
20 of read and write operation efficiencies.

8. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1, wherein said embedded processor is used for an industrial automation application.

9. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 8,  
5 wherein said embedded processor is a machine vision processor.

10. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1 further comprising routing software running on said host computer, for permitting said embedded processor to  
10 communicate with at least said host computer using said host computer's standard network connection and communication protocol.

11. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 1, wherein said embedded processor comprises a separate circuit  
15 board interfaced to said host computer via a host computer peripheral interface bus.

12. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 11, wherein said host computer peripheral interface bus comprises a Peripheral Component Interface (PCI) bus.

20 13. The apparent interface as claimed in claim 11, wherein said embedded computer circuit board further



comprises memory, which is visible to said host computer via  
said host computer peripheral interface bus.

14. A method of providing an apparent network interface between a first and a second computer, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a peripheral bus interface between said first  
5 and said second computer;

providing at least one network interface controller for each of the first and second computers;

writing data by said first computer over said peripheral interface bus to a memory location coupled to  
10 said second computer when data is to be written by said first computer to said second computer; and

writing data by said second computer over said peripheral interface bus to a memory location coupled to said first computer when data is to be written by said  
15 second computer to said first computer.

15. The method as claimed in claim 14, wherein said data is written using bus-master burst-mode write cycles.

10




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US98/24362

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G06F 13/00

US CL : 395/200.8

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 395/200.8

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	US 5,706,478 A (DYE) 06 January 1998, col. 2-3, 5-6 and 10-11.	1-7 and 10-15
X	US 5,392,360 A (Weindelmayer et al) 21 February 1995, col. 4 and 6.	8-9

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

06 JANUARY 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

17 MAR 1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

ARIO ETIENNE

Telephone No. (703) 308-7562